

# Health and Adult Social Care Scrutiny Board

### 10 August 2022

Subject:	Tracking and Monitoring of Scrutiny Recommendations	
Director:	Director of Law and Governance	
	Surjit Tour	
	Surjir_tour@sandwell.gov.uk	
<b>Contact Officer:</b>	Stephnie Hancock	
	Senior Democratic Services Officer	
	stephnie hancock@sandwell.gov.uk	

#### 1 Recommendations

- 1.1 That the Board notes the responses from the Executive/Directors/Partners on recommendations referred since the Board's last meeting, as set out in the Appendix.
- 1.2 That the Board notes the progress on implementation of those recommendations approved by the Executive/Directors/Partners, as set out in the Appendix.
- 1.3 That the Board identifies any recommendations where progress is unsatisfactory and determines what action it wishes to take.
- 1.4 That the Board determines which recommendations no longer require monitoring.



















#### 2 Reasons for Recommendations

- 2.1 To facilitate the effective monitoring of progress on responses to and press with implementation of recommendations made by the Board and identify where further action is required.
- 2.2 Effective monitoring of recommendations facilitates the evaluation of the impact of the scrutiny function overall.

## 3 How does this deliver objectives of the Corporate Plan?

A A	Best start in life for children and young people	The scrutiny function supports all of the objectives of the Corporate Plan by seeking to
XXX	People live well and age well	improve services for the people of Sandwell. It does this by influencing the policies and
	Strong resilient communities	decisions made by the Council and other organisations involved in delivering public
	Quality homes in thriving neighbourhoods	services.  Effective monitoring of
(23)	A strong and inclusive economy	recommendations made supports this and allows scrutiny to evaluate is impact.
<b>Q</b>	A connected and accessible Sandwell	

# 4 Context and Key Issues

4.1 The attached Appendix details the responses to and progress on the implementation of recommendations made by the scrutiny function.



















#### **Implications** 5

Resources:	
Legal and Governance:	The duty to undertake overview and scrutiny is set out in Part 1A Section 9 of the Local Government Act 2000.
	The Local Government and Public Involvement in Health Act 2007 places a duty on the Executive to respond to Scrutiny recommendations within two months of receiving them.
	Scrutiny committees can require a response from NHS bodies within 28 days in relation to recommendations made to them.
Risk:	Any risk implications have been considered with the relevant Officer/Director/Cabinet Member/Risk Owner at the time the recommendations were referred to them by the Board.
	Any specific risks for the Board's attention are detailed in the Appendix.
Equality:	Any equality implications have been considered with the relevant Officer/Director/Cabinet Member/Equality, Diversity and Inclusion Team at the time the recommendations were referred to them by the Board.
	Any specific equality implications for the Board's attention are detailed in the Appendix.
Health and Wellbeing:	Any health and wellbeing implications have been considered with the relevant Officer/Director/Cabinet Member at the time the recommendations were referred to them by the Board.
	Any specific health and wellbeing implications for the Board's attention are detailed in the Appendix.
Social Value	Any social value implications have been considered with the relevant Officer/Director/Cabinet

















Member/Equality, Diversity and Inclusion Team at the time the recommendations were referred to them by the Board.

## 6 Appendices

Appendix A – Scrutiny Action Tracker - Monitoring Table

# 7. Background Papers

None.

















